

# FAX



**To:** Box AF,  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC. 20231  
Fax: 703-872-9314

107 South Cedros  
Solana Beach, CA 92075  
phone: (858) 481-1477  
FAX: (858) 481-6154  
jerzy@nisus.com

**Date:** Sep 13, 2001

**From:** Jerzy Lewak

**Total Pages:** 10

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed, for your consideration, the response to office action consisting of 9 pages plus this cover sheet.

This response replaces the like response filed on August 21, 2001, which did not include a clean version of the amended claims, 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i), and is in response to the notification of said fact, of mailing date 09/04/01.

The original is being mailed today.

**RECEIVED**

SEP 20 2001

Technology Center 2600

Sincerely,

Jerzy Lewak



Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116-  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE-EXAMINING  
SERIAL NUMBER 09/160,728  
GROUP ART UNIT 2671

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Regarding application of: Jerzy Lewak  
Serial Number: 09/160,728  
Filed: 09/25/98  
For: A Method of  
Teaching Abstract  
Concepts by  
Animating Abstract  
Symbols.

GROUP ART UNIT 2671  
EXAMINER LANCE W. SEALY

**RECEIVED**

SEP 20 2001

Technology Center 2600

**RESPONSE TO**

Box AF., Commissioner of  
Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC. 20231

Dear Sir,

August 21, 2001,

**RESPONSE - REQUEST OF CLAIM DRAFTING**

In response to the Office Action dated 5/21/01, the applicant respectfully points out that the current office action is not responsive to part of applicant's previous response to office action, dated November 1, 2000, in which the applicant requested as follows:

"Applicant feels that patentable subject matter is clearly present. If the examiner agrees but does not feel that the present claims, as modified, are technically adequate, applicant respectfully requests that the examiner write acceptable claims pursuant to MPEP 707.07(j)." (last paragraph of response)

The applicant respectfully repeats the above request and further requests consideration of the following response to the examiner's claim rejections.